Version 2022 Lessons Learned

Equitable Access to Care What Have We Learned from Accredited Health Departments? April 2021



The Public Health
Accreditation Board is a
501(c)3 nonprofit
organization dedicated to
improving and protecting
the health of the public by
advancing and
transforming the quality
and performance of
governmental public health
agencies in the U.S. and

Contact:
Public Health
Accreditation Board
1600 Duke Street Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22314
Phone: 703-778-4549
www.phaboard.org

Background

This document summarizes what PHAB has learned about how health departments (HDs) participating in accreditation are addressing Domain 7 measures. In particular, it focuses on the reasons that HDs struggled with measures that relate to equitable access to care.

Below is a summary of the distribution of assessments for related measures. It also includes findings from Section II of accredited HDs' Annual Reports. These data are for 179 HDs assessed under Version 1.0 and 194 HDs assessed under Version 1.5.

Measure	% Fully	% Largely	% Slightly	% Not	N
	Demonstrated	Demonstrated	Demonstrated	Demonstrated	
7.1.1 (ver 1.0)	69.8%	20.7%	7.8%	1.7%	179
7.1.1 (ver 1.5)	28.9%	41.2%	28.9%	1.0%	194
7.1.2	66.0%	18.8%	12.1%	3.2%	373
7.1.3 (ver 1.0)	53.1%	27.9%	19.0%	0.0%	179
7.1.3 (ver 1.5)	32.0%	37.1%	28.9%	2.1%	194
7.2.1	71.5%	16.9%	9.4%	2.2%	372
7.2.2	77.4%	12.6%	5.4%	4.6%	372
7.2.3	69.4%	15.9%	8.1%	6.7%	372

Data are presented separately for health departments assessed under Version 1.0 and Version 1.5 of the Standards & Measures if there was a substantive change in the requirements. If the two versions are substantively the same, the aggregate data are presented.

To better understand HDs' performance on these Measures, PHAB conducted an analysis of the conformity comments of HDs that were assessed as Slightly or Not Demonstrated (SD/ND) in at least 5% of the Site Visit Reports. The results of those analyses are shown below. For each Measure, the most common reasons for the assessment are listed, including the number of HDs for which that reason was indicated. One HD could have multiple reasons listed. The reasons are linked to specific required documentation (RD) listed in the PHAB Standards and Measures. For reference, please see PHAB Standards & Measures Version 1.5.

Equitable Access to Care - Lessons Learned

Measure 7.1.1: Process to assess the availability of health care services

65 HDs were assessed as SD/ND. The most common challenges were:

- RD2: Data sharing was not for the purposes of assessment or planning (26 HDs)
- RD1: Process not about access to care (24 HDs)
- RD3 (V1.5): Example was not linked to process described in RD1 (23 HDs)
- RD2: Process was not about access to care (21 HDs)
- RD2: Example was not linked to process described in RD1 (20 HDs)
- RD3 (V1.5): Absence of emerging issue that will impact services (20 HDs)
- RD2: Lack of evidence of data sharing (18 HDs)

Measure 7.1.2: Identification of populations who experience barriers to health care services identified 53 HDs were assessed as SD/ND. The most common challenges were:

- RD1: Lack of process for identification (27 HDs)
- RD2: Lack of identification of populations (17 HDs)
- RD2: Failure to address barriers to access (10 HDs)
- RD2: Unclear identification (10 HDs)

Measure 7.1.3: Identification of gaps in access to health care services and barriers to the receipt of health care services identified

86 HDs were assessed as SD/ND. The most common challenges were:

- RD2: Report did not include assessment of capacity and distribution of health care providers (53 HDs)
- RD2: Failure to provide assessment of the availability of health care services (52 HDs)
- RD2: Report did not identify causes of gaps in services and barriers to receipt of care (48 HDs)
- RD2: Report did not contain data gathered periodically concerning access (43 HDs)
- RD2: Absent analysis of data (27 HDs)
- RD1: Process not specific to gaps in access (e.g., was CHA process) (13 HDs)
- RD1: Involved parties were not identified (12 HDs)
- RD2: Data was not from partnership (10 HDs)
- RD2: Failure to provide second example (10 HDs)

Measure 7.2.1: Process to develop strategies to improve access to health care services

38 HDs were assessed as SD/ND. Documentation failed to demonstrate:

- RD2: Strategies to improve access or remove barriers to care (26 HDs)
- RD1: A collaborative process (11 HDs)
- RD1: Process that reduced barriers to health care services (11 HDs)
- RD1: Documentation with appropriate authenticity (11 HDs)
- RD2: Example linked to process described in RD1 (9 HDs)

Measure 7.2.2: Implemented strategies to increase access to health care services

31 HDs were assessed as SD/ND. Documentation failed to demonstrate:

- Example that was in scope (15 HDs)
- A collaborative process (10 HDs)
- Strategies that addressed barriers to health care services (8 HDs)

Equitable Access to Care – Lessons Learned

Measure 7.2.3: Implemented culturally competent initiatives to increase access to health care services for those who may experience barriers to care due to cultural, language, or literacy differences

46 HDs were assessed as SD/ND. Documentation failed to demonstrate:

- Improved access to healthcare (26 HDs)
- Culturally or linguistically appropriate processes, programs, or interventions (16 HDs)
- Initiative that addressed barriers to health care services (13 HDs)
- Example that was in scope (9 HDs)

Annual Reports

More than 50% of Annual Reports in 2020 indicated work related to the linkage between public health and health care. Examples of activities include:

- Infectious disease screening, counseling, and linkage to care services, regardless of insurance status
- Increasing interpretation services
- Opening of regional crisis centers in underserved areas
- Developing work groups, task forces, summits, or agencies to promote health equity
- Providing trainings (e.g., trauma informed care, overdose reversal)
- Refining understanding of community needs during COVID-19